

REMARKS

The recognition of allowable subject matter in claims 36-38, 40 and 43-59 is gratefully acknowledged. Reconsideration of the rejection of the application is respectfully requested based on the amendments and following discussion.

OBJECTIONS

The specification was objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The claim with the objectionable language has been cancelled.

Claim 61 was objected to for a duplicated phrase.

Claim 61 has been cancelled.

REJECTION 112:

Claims 61 – 64 were rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph.

Claims 61 – 64 have been cancelled.

REJECTION 112:

Claim 63 was rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph.

Claim 63 has been cancelled.

New claim 65 is believed to be fully supported by at least FIG.s 1, 6 and 11. New claim 65 is believed to read on and therefore interfere with US 6,652,122.

It is believed that a full and complete response to the Office Action has been made, that the Application as amended is patentably distinct over the cited art, and that the case is now in condition to be passed to issue. Reconsideration of the amended application is therefore requested, and an early favorable notice of allowance is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By: William E. Meyer.

William E. Meyer Reg. No. 30,719  
Attorney for Applicants

OSRAM SYLVANIA INC.  
100 ENDICOTT STREET  
DANVERS, MA 01923  
(978) 750-2384